



310 Bell Rd. Christiansburg, VA 24073 540.449.5433 mobile jjones@lifeline-amb.com

Press Release

May, 30,2012

Christiansburg Divorce Lawyer Jails CEO Over Child Support After Intentionally Omitting \$205,032 in Payments - His Client Actually Owed

\$94,709 in child support payments and \$111,323 in the wife's obligations were intentionally omitted when John Stiles Huntington, Esq. demanded jail time for the husband. Huntington assisted in structuring the wife's income from 2002-2006, concealing at least \$650,000 she embezzled from her husband's business the first time he asked a judge for child support in 2006. Huntington was also aware that his client was supposed to be paying the husband under the law and child support guidelines, yet he fabricated a false story for the court under a constructed desertion claim.

Her lawyer arbitrarily charged the husband 50% interest instead of the state-approved 6% on unapproved court forms. He caused three of the husband's lawyers to quit by early 2008. The case was under appeal at the time at the insistence of the judge.

Huntington represented his client on a contingency basis, expecting a share of another \$6 million she embezzled before being fired by the husband in April 2006. The husband did not begin to discover that embezzlement from his company until 2012, after nine divorce lawyers had quit by then. The husband had to represent himself in the end in 2010, unable to confirm the embezzlement until 2018. The wife shared knowledge of the spoils with her attorney and friends in 2006, as evidenced in notes she accidentally disclosed to the third lawyer who quit the husband.

The husband's forensic accountant discovered \$650,000 missing from another related company in May 2007, testifying in divorce court that it "was taken under false pretenses." The technical alteration of his company's computer system by the wife made the \$6 million difficult to find given the volume of business. The wife hired a friend to facilitate the voluminous theft and circumvent security controls.

The \$111,323 was for the wife's debts she incurred before and after leaving the husband. Her lawyer demanded the husband pay these debts after advising her not to work. She had earned more than \$200,000 per year in the prior three years versus the husband's \$25,000 per year, sometimes less. The husband was also ordered to pay \$40,000 in spousal support due to the ruse.

The wife and her lawyer were unaware she left her dictated lawyer notes at work, and their communications were being recorded for three years. The husband's private investigator and CPA discovered the notes in early 2007. His lawyer was finally able to connect the dots after they tried to deceive the judge in court. However, the lie was so enormous that the lawyer had to drop the case because he "had several other cases" with the same lawyer.